

SERIES
Number 19

INTELLIGENCE AFTER NEXT

**THE IC'S OPPORTUNITY TO BOLSTER GLOBAL DEMOCRACY:
STRENGTHENING INTEGRATION AND SUPPORTING
WHOLE-OF-GOVERNMENT STRATEGY**

by Joseph Stabile

Threats to Global Democracy

Speaking at the March 2023 Summit for Democracy, Director of National Intelligence (DNI) Avril Haines called attention to the Intelligence Community's (IC's) increasing focus on the challenge of global authoritarianism. In her annual threat assessment, she noted that the IC for the first time included a section analyzing “trends in digital authoritarianism and malign influence” across the globe.¹ Importantly, DNI Haines also underscored the transnational nature of this threat, discussing the dozens of regimes that have reached across borders to suppress democratic rights.²

In the face of these challenges, the DNI's speech highlights a significant opportunity for the IC to strengthen its posture as it relates to confronting transnational threats to democracy. To improve its capacity to support whole-of-government strategy implementation on this topic, the IC should consider establishing integrative mechanisms dedicated to countering authoritarianism. To do so, the IC possesses a range of options, including the opportunity to replicate existing models for interagency integration within both OONI and individual IC components.

While these courses of action do not provide a comprehensive path forward for U.S. government action on democracy—domestic challenges fall outside the scope of this paper³—they nonetheless offer concrete options to help the IC integrate its efforts, realign its priorities, and advance strategic-level national security interests.

The Current Challenge

The global growth of anti-democratic movements presents an urgent challenge to U.S. security and national interests.⁴ For two decades, notes Freedom House, “democracy's opponents have labored persistently to dismantle this international order and the restraints it imposed on their [authoritarian] ambitions.”⁵

And while authoritarian movements are often primarily driven by internal factors,⁶ transnational actors have no doubt exacerbated democratic backsliding. Indeed, anti-democratic actors are increasingly coordinating across borders to express solidarity, share best practices, and enhance their effectiveness.⁷ European actors, for example, have forged ties with India's Hindu nationalist movement, particularly surrounding the anti-democratic crackdowns in the Kashmir region that have severely curtailed Muslim citizens' rights.⁸ This type of collaboration can facilitate the adoption of increasingly extreme positions and help “build a repertoire of practices [for international allies] to draw from,” increasing their likelihood of success.⁹ Researchers have likewise observed an uptick in transnational repression, occurring most often via the “co-optation of or cooperation with authorities in the host country.”¹⁰ All the while, transnational financial flows help to bolster anti-democratic movements, shielding authoritarian actors from criticism and legal accountability for corrupt practices.¹¹

Compounding these challenges, this resurgence of authoritarian political activity coincides—and in some cases overlaps—with a rising threat of violence perpetrated by anti-democratic actors and movements.¹²

- In Germany, a recently disrupted plot to overthrow the government implicated a former parliamentarian and two other members of the Alternative für Deutschland party.¹³ These arrests followed an attempted storming of the German parliament building just two years earlier.¹⁴
- More recently, Brazil also faced the threat of a violent, anti-democratic mob seeking to challenge the results of an election that unseated former President Jair Bolsonaro. In the wake of this incident, experts have questioned the willingness of security services to address these threats.¹⁵
- In India, vigilante violence against Muslims and other minority communities often occurs in the context of tacit acceptance—and even the active instigation—from state officials and others associated with the ruling Bharatiya Janata Party.¹⁶

To confront this transnational challenge, the U.S. government has highlighted the protection of global democracy as a priority objective in recent strategy documents. Further action from the IC can help implement these plans.

U.S. Government Strategy on Global Democracy

The current National Security Strategy (NSS), released in October 2022, situates “a resurgence of authoritarianism” as a key threat to livelihoods and stability across the globe.¹⁷ The NSS makes clear that the threat emerges both “from powers that layer authoritarian governance with a revisionist foreign policy,”¹⁸ as well as smaller states that nonetheless play a crucial role in the geopolitical order.¹⁹ To address this challenge, the NSS suggests that the U.S. government “will continually update [its] range of tools to advance democracy and counter authoritarianism.”²⁰

As policy documents increasingly emphasize democracy issues as central to U.S. national security, the demand for IC support to this strategic interest has likewise increased. Complementing the NSS, the White House also released a Strategy on Countering Corruption, which again focuses squarely on threats to global democracy. Kleptocrats and corrupt actors, it notes, “harness the international financial system to stash illicit wealth abroad and abuse democratic institutions to advance anti-democratic aims.”²¹ To mitigate these trends, the document explicitly highlights the role of the IC through a call to increase “intelligence prioritization, collection and analysis on corruption, corrupt actors, and their networks,” and bolster “information sharing between the Intelligence Community and law enforcement.”²² Strategies at the department level have also emerged, including the U.S. Agency for International Development’s publicly outlined plan to address autocracy.²³

Collectively, these efforts help advance the broader Presidential Initiative for Democratic Renewal, first announced in December 2021.²⁴ Outside of government, a 2021 bipartisan task force on supporting democracy and countering authoritarianism emphasized the role of the IC in providing baseline analysis, sharing financial intelligence, and developing impact assessments for relevant programs.²⁵

Although the most recent National Intelligence Strategy (NIS) does not focus on issues of global democracy and authoritarianism, the IC has taken notable steps in recent years to adapt in response to emerging threats. Perhaps most consequentially, ODNI has moved to confront “hostile foreign actors seeking to influence the U.S. Government, state and local governments, or public opinion and behaviors through overt or covert means” via the recent creation of the Foreign Malign Influence Center.²⁶

IN THE WAKE OF DEMOCRATIC DECLINE ACROSS THE GLOBE, THE IC SHOULD DEFINE THE SCOPE OF THE PROBLEM, ESTABLISH A SET OF PRIORITIES, AND INSTITUTIONALIZE ITS SUPPORT TO WHOLE-OF-GOVERNMENT INITIATIVES

These recent developments offer a strong foundation for more a comprehensive intelligence approach to the threat of authoritarianism—an approach that will require attention to a broader range of anti-democratic trends perpetrated by both state and non-state actors. In short, the IC should look beyond just influence campaigns and toward other topics, such as autocrats’ administrative maneuvers to consolidate power, the social cleavages that breed instability, and the threat of violence by anti-democratic actors.²⁷ How might the IC pursue this objective?

Available Policy Options to Improve Intelligence Integration

The IC, in tandem with other U.S. government partners, has a key role to play in supporting democracy and confronting global authoritarianism.²⁸ As highlighted above, policymakers will look to the IC to bolster its collection, analysis, and information sharing related to transnational actors who threaten democracy. Because this issue transcends clear regional and functional boundaries,²⁹ such a task cannot be the sole responsibility of just one IC component. Instead, the IC should strive to enhance its integration posture on this topic. Such an approach would enable the IC to engage its “diverse set of tools” and to harness “specialized knowledge and skillsets from each [IC] component.”³⁰ Moreover, the focus on collaborating across components could help the IC address “non-obvious issues that implicate multiple department and agency equities.”³¹ In a time of shifting budgets and priorities, strengthening integrative mechanisms would also “embed [current national-level priorities] in decision-making structures” in a way that supports the implementation of long-term goals.³²

ODNI possesses a wide range of options to pursue this objective, particularly given the role of the Mission Integration directorate (ODNI/MI), which “integrates mission capabilities, informs enterprise resource and policy decisions and ensures the timely delivery of intelligence.”³³ That said, the onus lies not just on ODNI, but the interagency more broadly. Regardless of the route chosen, the IC should ensure that policymakers and other customers have a clear channel to engage the Community on this topic and leverage its expertise as appropriate.

Accordingly, IC leadership should consider:

Elevating Global Democracy issues to the NIMC and National Intelligence Council by creating both a National Intelligence Manager (NIM) and a National Intelligence Officer (NIO) for Global Democracy.

- Housed within ODNI/MI, the National Intelligence Management Council (NIMC) comprises “the

ODNI’s principal substantive advisors within and across specific regions and functional issues.”^{34,35}

By driving resource discussions and guiding intelligence collection across the IC, a NIM for Global Democracy could help shape a posture that strengthens the IC’s understanding of the transnational nature of this issue.³⁶

- The National Intelligence Council (NIC), meanwhile, “consists of the most senior and expert intelligence analysts supporting the Director of National Intelligence.”³⁷ Within this construct, NIOs help draft National Intelligence Estimates, IC-coordinated assessments, and Sense of the Community Memoranda.³⁸ The creation of a NIO for Global Democracy would therefore improve the IC’s capacity to produce strategic-level analysis that informs the work of senior policymakers.³⁹

Creating an IC Executive Coordinator for Global Democracy

- Short of elevation to the NIMC, ODNI might consider borrowing the model of the Election Threats Executive (ETE) to create an IC Executive Coordinator for Global Democracy. This coordinator could help synchronize efforts across IC components and serve as an “entry point to the IC” for its customers.⁴⁰
- Though this Executive may not match a NIM’s seniority, the creation of the role could nonetheless serve as a pilot for IC integration on this topic and set the stage for further growth in the future. Indeed, the Foreign Malign Influence Center has grown out of the ETE, demonstrating the potential for expansion.⁴¹

Establishing a Global Democracy Intelligence Committee within the NIC

- Rather than designating a single official to serve as the principal adviser for global democracy, ODNI might instead create a Technical Production Committee within the NIC, building on the longstanding model of committees for Joint Atomic Energy, Weapon and Space Systems, and Scientific and Technical Intelligence.⁴² Under

the guidance of the NIC Chair, these Intelligence Committees help coordinate IC assessments, facilitate information sharing, and promote the efficient use of IC resources.⁴³

Standing Up a Democracy Information Unit with the State Department's Bureau of Intelligence and Research (INR)

- Given the key role of the State Department in bolstering democratic institutions across the globe, INR may be a particularly appropriate IC component to lead such an effort. Such an institution could directly support State's Coordinator for Global Democratic Renewal, and co-location within the Department could facilitate collaboration between the IC and policy community.⁴⁴
- The INR-based Humanitarian Information Unit (HIU) could offer a useful model for an agency-driven integration mechanism for intelligence related to democracy and authoritarianism.
 - HIU, which consists of both IC and non-IC personnel, serves as an "interagency center to identify, collect, analyze, and disseminate all-source information critical to U.S. government decision-makers and partners in preparation for and response to humanitarian emergencies worldwide."⁴⁵

Envisioning Support for Foundational Mission Objectives

To meet the ambitious objectives set out in national-level strategy documents, the IC will likely need to strengthen its posture for each of its three foundational mission objectives: strategic intelligence, anticipatory intelligence, and current operations intelligence.⁴⁶ Consequently, the following sections outline how the creation of a new institution dedicated to integration could enhance the IC's approach to each of these objectives.

Strategic Intelligence: *the context, knowledge, and understanding of the strategic environment required to support U.S. national security policy and planning decisions.*

- The elevation of this issue to the NIMC or another IC component would facilitate strategic-level policy de-confliction efforts—a key component of effective IC mission management.⁴⁷
 - For example, the presence of a democracy-focused adviser could help guide planning for security assistance packages through the lens of how such policies could embolden autocrats or otherwise undermine democracy.⁴⁸
- A clearer understanding of the strategic environment related to global democracy could inform a more efficient allocation of resources related to this topic—including through a "triage approach" to democracy support or a focus on democratic "bright spots."⁴⁹ A NIM or Executive Coordinator, in particular, would also serve as valuable advocate in budget debates, helping to drive resources towards priority areas.
- Scholars have warned that "important steps for conserving liberalism, even defensive ones, will generate pushback against the liberal order," noting that "in advancing liberal rights, policymakers have to navigate significant tradeoffs, inconsistencies, and contention."⁵⁰ Improved analytic efforts may help mitigate this concern by improving strategic insight into how foreign actors may react to U.S. efforts to counter democratic backsliding.

Anticipatory Intelligence: *information to identify emerging trends, changing conditions, and undervalued developments.*

- The "cross-disciplinary approach" typically associated with anticipatory intelligence underscores the value of an IC mechanism charged with coordinating efforts across a range of intelligence domains.⁵¹ Anticipatory intelligence "explores the potential for cascading events or activities to reinforce, amplify, or accelerate conflict,"⁵² and stands out as particularly important to help the IC foresee authoritarian power grabs, emerging alliances among autocrats, and violent mobilization by anti-democratic actors.

- The U.S. government could replicate anticipatory intelligence successes observed in the lead-up to Russia's invasion of Ukraine by preemptively exposing planned anti-democratic activity to deter such behavior and shore up international cooperation.⁵³

Current Operations Intelligence: *the collection, analysis, operations, and planning support that IC elements conduct to support the needs of military, diplomatic, homeland security, and policy customers.*

- To implement key national-level strategies, the U.S. government will increasingly look to the IC to provide timely support to enable its activity related to confronting authoritarianism and supporting global democracy. As highlighted in the Strategy on Countering Corruption, a democracy-focused institution in the IC could help guide policy prioritization for addressing the key actors who facilitate the financial, ideological, material, and logistical support for anti-democratic repression, corruption, and violence.⁵⁴
- Timely intelligence support could enable targeted sanctions on transnational repressors and other enablers,⁵⁵ and also help development officials understand whether specific assistance programs “may be manipulated to serve autocratic interests.”⁵⁶
- More broadly, democracy-focused intelligence efforts could help provide the nuance necessary to avoid a “one-size-fits all” approach to diplomatic engagement with countries experiencing democratic backsliding.⁵⁷

With these benefits in mind, it is also worth preemptively addressing concerns about this paper's recommendations to clarify how they could facilitate an efficient and responsible use of IC resources in support of U.S. government priorities.

Opportunities to Share Burden and Shape Policy

Avoiding NIM-CT Mission Creep and Overburden

Because this paper has frequently discussed trends in foreign anti-democratic violence, it is worth directly addressing why this distinct form of violence should fall within the purview of a newly established IC institution. Some observers may be tempted to expand the remit of the National Intelligence Manager for Counterterrorism (NIM-CT) and the counterterrorism community more broadly to address the totality of this challenge.⁵⁸

To be certain, the IC's recent work on the transnational aspects of racially or ethnically motivated violent extremism (REMVE) marks a positive step toward addressing the totality of terrorist movements that threaten U.S. interests.⁵⁹ The counterterrorism community should absolutely continue to address terrorist threats beyond just the jihadist violence that has dominated the U.S. government's attention for the past two decades. Though largely decentralized, REMVEs clearly constitute a terrorist threat, and their propensity for premeditated, mass casualty attacks aligns well with the definition of terrorism as articulated in title 22 of the U.S. Code and adopted by key U.S. government agencies.⁶⁰ Similarly, where anti-government violent extremism presents a clear terrorist threat, the counterterrorism community should similarly take on this issue without hesitation.⁶¹

That said, the IC should consider that:

- Not all foreign anti-democratic violence fits the definition of terrorism.⁶²
- Other forms of foreign anti-democratic violence can nonetheless exacerbate democratic decline, embolden autocrats, and threaten U.S. national security.⁶³

Perhaps most consequentially, the definition of international terrorism apparently adopted by the State Department and National Counterterrorism Center (whose leadership is dual-hatted as NIM-CT) implies a terrorist act must be politically motivated *and* premeditated.⁶⁴ A considerable portion of anti-democratic

violence, however, occurs spontaneously and therefore arguably outside the purview of the U.S. government counterterrorism enterprise.⁶⁵

Thus, while CT officials focus their efforts on terrorism as defined by the U.S. Code, a democracy-focused IC body could address a wider range of violent activity across the globe, including its connection to broader authoritarian movements. This new role, for example, could explore the relationship between violence abroad in the form hate crimes or pogroms and the policy platforms of illiberal political parties.⁶⁶ Similarly, the IC could help calibrate the U.S. government's response to the full spectrum of attacks on press freedom, ranging from legal repression to targeted violence.⁶⁷ And whereas the counterterrorism enterprise may be hamstrung in assessing certain forms of foreign anti-democratic electoral violence and harassment, the broader IC could help fill this gap.⁶⁸

Beyond these definitional concerns, there are practical reasons for exercising caution about expanding the scope of violence covered by the U.S. government counterterrorism enterprise.⁶⁹ For one, the intrusive intelligence collection methods associated with the counterterrorism enterprise could generate backlash and cause significant damage to relationships with partners experiencing various stages of democratic decline.⁷⁰ Equally important, it is worth emphasizing that the center of gravity of U.S. national security policy has clearly shifted away from counterterrorism.⁷¹ An attempt to direct additional resources toward a waning mission function would almost certainly meet resistance.

The incorporation of non-terrorist anti-democratic violence within the purview of a new IC institution, on the other hand, would enable the Community to take advantage of existing regional expertise and simultaneously examine the nexus of this violence to broader political movements. The combination of regional perspectives, diplomatic reporting, and open-source intelligence may offer a strong base for strategic intelligence and early warning against spikes in foreign anti-democratic violence.⁷²

The IC needs a mechanism to approach the forms of anti-democratic violence that do not fit neatly into its counterterrorism framework. Rather than expanding the authorities and the budget of the NIM-CT, IC should seek to address this threat within the context of the transnational spread of anti-democratic movements more broadly. A new IC mechanism should strive to outline these concepts in more detail in a Unifying Intelligence Strategy (UIS) or similar strategic guidance, including opportunities to coordinate with NIM-CT when appropriate.

Addressing Risks

Despite the benefits outlined above, it is worth recognizing that these courses of action may prompt concern among those worried about both strategic overreach and IC authorities. Memory of previous U.S. government efforts to advance democracy—particularly through so-called nation-building projects⁷³—may deter support for any of the above measures. These options, however, would not expand the power of the IC or suggest an increase in operational activity related to this topic. To the contrary, these courses of action may help re-focus IC efforts on strategic-level analysis and reverse the dominance of “intelligence for action.”⁷⁴

In addition to these concerns, critics of the great power competition (GPC) paradigm might also worry that the elevation of global democracy as a functional focus could imply or endorse the view that China serves as the singular “harbinger of rising authoritarianism worldwide.”⁷⁵ Instead, a more robust intelligence approach to the broader issue of global democracy may help elucidate the perspective that “the more proximate roots of democratic backsliding in most countries are domestic.”⁷⁶

Moreover, an IC integrative mechanism could inform a harm mitigation approach to help prevent the U.S. government from exacerbating democratic decay abroad. As previously noted, this mechanism could

provide strategic insight into how certain forms of security assistance and intelligence cooperation could bolster autocrats or enable transnational repression.⁷⁷ That is, an intelligence adviser charged with focusing on the protection of global democracy could help guard against the implementation of reflexive—and potentially counterproductive—policies in the name of GPC.⁷⁸

To fully address these concerns and guard against overreach, a new official should codify such perspectives in a resulting UIS or internal strategy guidance.

The IC's Imperative

In the wake of democratic decline across the globe, the U.S. government has chosen to highlight the issue of democracy in the NSS, as well as the functional strategies that guide the interagency on key functional issues. Now, the IC should define the scope of the problem, establish a set of priorities, and institutionalize its support to these whole-of-government initiatives. At the very least, the next NIS should aim to align itself with the NSS and highlight the role of intelligence in addressing the challenge of global democratic decline. Institutionalizing integration efforts would go one step further to elevate this issue and strengthen the IC's posture.

This issue demands specialized attention specifically because of its multifaceted nature. Rachel Kleinfeld, a senior fellow at the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, notes that “Democracy support must have different theories of change crafted to meet the challenges of autocratization in consolidated democracies, backsliding in newer but once-solid democracies, coups in some of the least consolidated democracies, and the unique difficulties presented by China and Russia.”⁷⁹ Without an empowered intelligence authority to help tailor its approach to this complex challenge, the IC may struggle to unify its efforts and implement established national security objectives.

Endnotes

1. Avril Haines, “Summit for Democracy, Opening Remarks,” Office of the Director of National Intelligence, March 30, 2023, <https://www.odni.gov/index.php/newsroom/speeches-interviews/speeches-interviews-2023/item/2370-dni-haines-summit-for-democracy-opening-remarks>
 2. Avril Haines, “Summit for Democracy, Opening Remarks,” Office of the Director of National Intelligence, March 30, 2023, <https://www.odni.gov/index.php/newsroom/speeches-interviews/speeches-interviews-2023/item/2370-dni-haines-summit-for-democracy-opening-remarks>
 3. This paper, however, focuses on the policies, capabilities, and concerns of the Intelligence Community as it prepares for the future, and as such any discussion of domestic policy reform falls outside its scope. For such discussions and proposals see, for example: Commission on the Practice of Democratic Citizenship, “Our Common Purpose: Reinventing American Democracy for the 21st Century,” American Academy of Arts and Sciences, 2020, https://www.amacad.org/sites/default/files/publication/downloads/2020-Democratic-Citizenship_Our-Common-Purpose.pdf; Rachel Kleinfeld, “Five Strategies to Support U.S. Democracy,” Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, September 15, 2022, <https://carnegieendowment.org/2022/09/15/five-strategies-to-support-u.s.-democracy-pub-87918>; Lee Drutman, “How Democracies Revive,” Niskanen Center, April 2022, https://d1y8sb8igg2f8e.cloudfront.net/documents/How_Democracies_Revive.pdf
 4. “The Global Expansion of Authoritarian Rule,” Sarah Repucci and Amy Slipowitz, February 2022, freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/2022-02/FIW_2022_PDF_Booklet_Digital_Final_Web.pdf.
- See also, Justin Casey and Daniel Nexon, “The Vexing Rise of the Transnational Right,” Foreign Affairs, January 19, 2023, in which the authors note, “Reactionary populists are much more likely...to support policies that would curb U.S. power and influence, such as demanding the country’s withdrawal from NATO.” Available at: www.foreignaffairs.com/world/vexing-rise-transnational-right
5. Sarah Repucci and Amy Slipowitz, “The Global Expansion of Authoritarian Rule,” February 2022, freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/2022-02/FIW_2022_PDF_Booklet_Digital_Final_Web.pdf
 6. Amitav Acharya, “Hierarchies of Weakness: The Social Divisions That Hold Countries Back,” Foreign Affairs, June 21, 2022, www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/united-states/2022-06-21/hierarchies-weakness-social-divisions. See also: Thomas Pepinsky and Jessica Chen Weiss, “The Clash of Systems? Washington Should Avoid Ideological Competition with Beijing,” Foreign Affairs, June 11, 2021, www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/united-states/2021-06-11/clash-systems
 7. Cas Mudde, “The Far-Right Threat To Liberal Democracy In Europe, Explained,” Vox, December 21, 2022, www.vox.com/world/23516807/europe-right-wing-parties-orban-meloni; Zselyke Csaky, “The Anti-Democratic Turn,” Freedom House, 2021, freedomhouse.org/report/nations-transit/2021/antidemocratic-turn
 8. Eviane Leidig, “The Far-Right is Going Global,” Foreign Policy, January 21, 2020, foreignpolicy.com/2020/01/21/india-kashmir-modi-eu-hindu-nationalists-rss-the-far-right-is-going-global/
 9. Justin Casey and Daniel Nexon, “The Vexing Rise of the Transnational Right,” Foreign Affairs, January 19, 2023, www.foreignaffairs.com/world/vexing-rise-transnational-right.
 10. “Transnational repression” includes acts that undermine the rights and liberty of diaspora and exile communities through physical attacks, detention, abuse of administrative mechanisms, or digital threats.
- See: Yana Gorokhovskaia and Isabel Linzer, “Defending Democracy in Exile: Policy Responses to Transnational Repression,” Freedom House, June 2022, freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/2022-05/Complete_TransnationalRepressionReport2022_NEW_0.pdf.
11. Alexander Cooley and Daniel Nexon, “The Real Crisis of Global Order,” Foreign Affairs, December 14, 2021, www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/world/2021-12-14/illiberalism-real-crisis-global-order.
 12. In addition to the cases that follow, see for example: Ryan Switzer and Cecilia Tümler, “Untangling the Sweden Democrats, and the far-right networks of networks, Center for Research on Extremism,” February 14, 2023, www.sv.uio.no/c-rex/english/news-and-events/right-now/2023/untangling-sd-and-sweden-s-far-right-networks-of-n.html.
 13. “IntelBrief: Arrests in Germany Target Far-Right and Anti-Government Extremists,” The Soufan Center, December 8, 2022, thesoufancenter.org/intelbrief-2022-december-8/; Alexander Ritzmann, “The December 2022 German Reichsbürger Plot to Overthrow the German Government,” Combating Terrorism Center Sentinel Journal, March 2023, <https://ctc.westpoint.edu/the-december-2022-german-reichsburger-plot-to-overthrow-the-german-government/>.
 14. Katrin Bennold, “Far Right Germans Try to Storm Reichstag as Coronavirus Protests Grow,” New York Times, August 31, 2020, www.nytimes.com/2020/08/31/world/europe/reichstag-germany-neonazi-coronavirus.html.
 15. Odilon Caldeira Neto, “The Brazilian Far-Right and the Path to January 8th,” GNET, January 23, 2022, <https://gnet-research.org/2023/01/23/the-brazilian-far-right-and-the-path-to-january-8th/>; Brian Winter, “The Real Risks Facing Brazil After January 8,” Americas Quarterly, January 11, 2023, <https://www.americasquarterly.org/article/the-real-risks-facing-brazil-after-january-8/>; “REACTION: Brazil’s Capital Invasion: What’s Next?” Americas Quarterly, January 9, 2023, <https://www.americasquarterly.org/article/reaction-brazils-capital-lives-its-january-invasion/>.

16. Angana P. Chatterji, "Election in India's Largest State Accelerates Anti-Muslim Hate Speech and Violence," Just Security, February 14, 2022, <https://www.justsecurity.org/80203/election-in-indias-largest-state-accelerates-anti-muslim-hate-speech-and-violence/>; Tore Bjørge, Miroslav Mareš, *Vigilantism Against Migrants and Minorities*, Routledge Studies in Fascism and the Far Right, 2020; Dexter Filkins, "Blood and Soil in Narendra Modi's India," The New Yorker, December 2, 2019, <https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2019/12/09/blood-and-soil-in-narendra-modis-india>.
17. "National Security Strategy," White House, October 2022, www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/8-November-Combined-PDF-for-Upload.pdf, 19.
18. "National Security Strategy," White House, October 2022, www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/8-November-Combined-PDF-for-Upload.pdf, 8.
19. "National Security Strategy," White House, October 2022, www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/8-November-Combined-PDF-for-Upload.pdf, 43.
20. "National Security Strategy," White House, October 2022, www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/8-November-Combined-PDF-for-Upload.pdf, 17.
21. "United States Strategy on Countering Corruption," White House, December 2021, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/United-States-Strategy-on-Countering-Corruption.pdf> 4.
22. "United States Strategy on Countering Corruption," White House, December 2021, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/United-States-Strategy-on-Countering-Corruption.pdf> 10.
23. Samantha Power, "How Democracy Can Win," Foreign Affairs, February 16, 2023, <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/united-states/samantha-power-how-democracy-can-win-counter-autocracy>
24. "Fact Sheet: Announcing the Presidential Initiative for Democratic Renewal," The White House, December 9, 2021, www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/12/09/fact-sheet-announcing-the-presidential-initiative-for-democratic-renewal/
25. "Reversing the Tide: Towards a New US Strategy to Support Democracy and Counter Authoritarianism," Report of the Task Force on US Strategy to Support Democracy and Counter Authoritarianism, April 2021, www.freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/2021-04/Democracy_Task_Force_Report_2021_Final4_04132021.pdf
26. "Foreign Malign Influence Center: Who We Are," Office of the Director of National Intelligence, <https://www.dni.gov/index.php/fmic-who-we-are/intro-who-we-are>
27. Scholars find that autocratic incumbents rely on "subtle forms of persecution, such as the use of tax authorities, compliant judiciaries, and other state agencies" to persecute opposition figures and deny them access to valuable resources. See: Lucan Way and Steven Levitsky, "The Rise of Competitive Authoritarianism," *Journal of Democracy*, April 2002, scholar.harvard.edu/levitsky/files/SL_elections.pdf, 52; Lucan Way and Steven Levitsky, "How Autocrats Can Rig The Game and Damage Democracy," The Washington Post, January 4, 2019, www.washingtonpost.com/news/monkey-cage/wp/2019/01/04/how-do-you-know-when-a-democracy-has-slipped-over-into-autocracy/. On "social cleavages," see: Amitav Acharya, "Hierarchies of Weakness: The Social Divisions That Hold Countries Back," Foreign Affairs, June 21, 2022, www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/united-states/2022-06-21/hierarchies-weakness-social-divisions
28. "Reversing the Tide: Towards a New US Strategy to Support Democracy and Counter Authoritarianism," Report of the Task Force on US Strategy to Support Democracy and Counter Authoritarianism, April 2021, www.freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/2021-04/Democracy_Task_Force_Report_2021_Final4_04132021.pdf
29. "The Global Expansion of Authoritarian Rule," Sarah Repucci and Amy Slipowitz, February 2022, [freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/2022-02/FIW_2022_PDF_Booklet_Digital_Final_Web.pdf](https://www.freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/2022-02/FIW_2022_PDF_Booklet_Digital_Final_Web.pdf).
30. Jim Clapper and Trey Brown, "Reflections on Integration in the Intelligence Community," *Studies in Intelligence* Vol. 65, No. 3, September 2021.
31. Russell Travers, "Addressing Our Whole-of-Government Deficit in National Security," Just Security, December 8, 2020.
32. Jon Temin, "The U.S. Doesn't Need Another Democracy Summit," Foreign Affairs, March 27, 2023, <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/united-states/us-doesnt-need-another-democracy-summit>.
33. "ODNI Organizations Engaged with the Private Sector," Office of the Director of National Intelligence, www.odni.gov/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=2730:private-sector-engagement&catid=314:national-security-partnerships.
34. "ODNI Organizations Engaged with the Private Sector," Office of the Director of National Intelligence, www.odni.gov/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=2730:private-sector-engagement&catid=314:national-security-partnerships.
35. Recent records from both ODNI and the National Archives and Records Administration suggest that the NIMC currently includes

functional NIMs covering areas such as Aviation; Climate and Global Issues; Counterintelligence; Counterproliferation; Counterterrorism; Cyber; Economic Security & Threat Finance; Maritime; Space; and Transnational Crime, Homeland, and Western Hemisphere. See: “Verification For Implementing Grs 6.1: Email Managed Under A Capstone Approach,” National Archives and Records Administration, October 15, 2020, <https://www.archives.gov/files/records-mgmt/rcs/schedules/independent-agencies/rg-0576/grs-6-1-0576-2020-0001-c.pdf?ga=2.73969366.550210383.1673304502-2121127490.1673304502>; “General Position Information: Deputy National Intelligence Manager, Climate and Global Issues,” Office of the Director of National Intelligence, https://www.odni.gov/files/319093_31094.pdf.

This list, however, should not be considered comprehensive, as the NIM-C makeup is subject to change. In 2021, National Security Memorandum 1 advocated for the “creation of National Intelligence Manager and National Intelligence Officer positions focused on biological threats, global public health, and biotechnology.” A subsequent Intelligence Authorization Act expanded the authority of the National Counterproliferation Center to also address biosecurity threats, suggesting that the NIM-Counterproliferation likewise assumed a similarly expanded role. See: “National Security Memorandum on United States Global Leadership to Strengthen the International COVID-19 Response and to Advance Global Health Security and Biological Preparedness,” The White House, January 21, 2021; “National Counterproliferation and Biosecurity Center,” Congressional Research Service, September 30, 2022; Ken Dilanian, “House Votes To Create Office For Medical Intelligence To Get Earlier Pandemic Warnings,” NBC News, www.nbcnews.com/politics/national-security/house-votes-create-new-office-medical-intelligence-get-earlier-pandemic-n1280498

36. “NIM Aviation – What We Do,” Office of the Director of National Intelligence, www.dni.gov/index.php/who-we-are/organizations/mission-integration/nimair/nimair-what-we-do

37. External Job Posting for Position Number “AB093,” Office of the Director of National Intelligence, www.odni.gov/files/documents/jobs/AB093.pdf

38. External Job Posting for Position Number “AB093,” Office of the Director of National Intelligence, www.odni.gov/files/documents/jobs/AB093.pdf

39. Richard Best, Jr., “The National Intelligence Council (NIC): Issues and Options for Congress,” Congressional Research Service, December 27, 2011, sgp.fas.org/crs/intel/R40505.pdf; External Job Posting for Position Number “AB093,” Office of the Director of National Intelligence, www.odni.gov/files/documents/jobs/AB093.pdf

40. “Getting to Know the Election Threats Executive,” Office of the Director of National Intelligence, www.dni.gov/index.php/newsroom/news-articles/item/2092-q-a-getting-to-know-the-election-threats-executive

41. “Foreign Malign Influence Center Fact Sheet,” Office of the Director of National Intelligence, www.dni.gov/files/FMIC/documents/FMIC_Fact_Sheet.pdf

42. “Intelligence Community Directive Number 207,” Office of the Director of National Intelligence, June 9, 2008, https://www.dni.gov/files/documents/ICD/ICD_207.pdf

43. For more detail, see Technical Production Committee Charters, approved for public release via the Freedom of Information Act on January 19, 2023. Available at: <https://www.dni.gov/files/documents/FOIA/DF-2017-00410-Ravnitzky-final-response.pdf>

44. See: “Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights, and Labor,” Department of State, <https://www.state.gov/bureaus-offices/under-secretary-for-civilian-security-democracy-and-human-rights/bureau-of-democracy-human-rights-and-labor/>

45. “Key Topics – Bureau of Intelligence and Research,” Department of State, February 1, 2019, <https://www.state.gov/key-topics-bureau-of-intelligence-and-research/>

46. Each category and the respective description is drawn from: “National Intelligence Strategy of the United States of America,” Office of the Director of National, 2019, www.dni.gov/files/ODNI/documents/National_Intelligence_Strategy_2019.pdf

47. “National Intelligence Strategy of the United States of America,” Office of the Director of National, 2019, www.dni.gov/files/ODNI/documents/National_Intelligence_Strategy_2019.pdf, 18.

48. Emily Cole and Calin Trenkov-Wermuth, “To Consolidate Democracy, Change U.S. Security Assistance,” United States Institute of Peace, December 16, 2021, www.usip.org/publications/2021/12/consolidate-democracy-change-us-security-assistance

49. Matthew Burrows and Evan Cooper, “Assumption #4: The United States Should Prioritize The Promotion Of Democracy Around The World Over Other Key Us Objectives,” Atlantic Council New American Engagement Initiative, December 9, 2021, www.atlanticcouncil.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/Assumption-Testing-4-Democracy-Promotion.pdf; Thomas Carothers and Benjamin Feldman, “Understanding and Supporting Democratic Bright Spots,” Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, March 27, 2023, <https://carnegieendowment.org/2023/03/27/understanding-and-supporting-democratic-bright-spots-pub-89376>

50. Alexander Cooley and Daniel Nexon, “The Real Crisis of Global Order,” Foreign Affairs, December 14, 2021, www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/world/2021-12-14/illiberalism-real-crisis-global-order

51. “National Intelligence Strategy of the United States of America,” Office of the Director of National, 2019, www.dni.gov/files/ODNI/

[documents/National_Intelligence_Strategy_2019.pdf](#).

52. “National Intelligence Strategy of the United States of America,” Office of the Director of National, 2019, www.dni.gov/files/ODNI/documents/National_Intelligence_Strategy_2019.pdf

53. Ken Dilanian et al., “The U.S. is Using Declassified Intel To Fight An Info War With Russia, Even When The Intel Isn't Rock Solid,” NBC News, [www.nbcnews.com/politics/national-security/us-using-declassified-intel-fight-info-war-russia-even-intel-isnt-rock-rcna23014](http://www.nbcnews.com/politics/national-security/us-using-declassified-intel-fight-info-war-russia-even-intel-isnt-rock-solid-rcna23014); Jake Harrington, “Intelligence Disclosures in the Ukraine Crisis and Beyond,” War on the Rocks, March 1, 2022, warontherocks.com/2022/03/intelligence-disclosures-in-the-ukraine-crisis-and-beyond/

54. In 2018, the National Strategy for Counterterrorism outlined a similar approach to isolating terrorists from their financial, ideological, material, and logistical support. See: “National Strategy for Counterterrorism of the United States of America,” White House, 2018, www.dni.gov/files/NCTC/documents/news_documents/NSCT.pdf

55. Yana Gorokhovskaia and Isabel Linzer, “Defending Democracy in Exile: Policy Responses to Transnational Repression,” Freedom House, June 2022, freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/2022-05/Complete_TransnationalRepressionReport2022_NEW_0.pdf; Alexander Cooley and Brooke Harrington, “The Power of Stigma: Shaming Russian Elites Has Helped Weaken Putin,” Foreign Affairs, October 27, 2022, <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/russian-federation/power-stigma-shaming-russia-elites-weaken-putin>

56. Oren Samet and Susan Hyde, “Can Democracy Assistance Be Effective in the Age of Authoritarianism?” Political Violence at a Glance, February 6, 2023, <https://politicalviolenceataglance.org/2023/02/06/can-democracy-assistance-be-effective-in-the-age-of-authoritarianism/>.

57. Justin Casey and Daniel Nexon, “The Vexing Rise of the Transnational Right,” Foreign Affairs, January 19, 2023, www.foreignaffairs.com/world/vexing-rise-transnational-right.

Scholars likewise note that “American leaders must recognize that laying the groundwork for consolidated democracy requires more than simply holding elections and that governance reforms even in highly flawed democracies yield palpable benefits. Indeed, even authoritarian countries may have a keen interest in performance-enhancing reforms.” See: Thomas Pepinsky and Jessica Chen Weiss, “The Clash of Systems? Washington Should Avoid Ideological Competition with Beijing,” Foreign Affairs, June 11, 2021, www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/united-states/2021-06-11/clash-systems.

58. On the dual nature of the NIM-CT, see: “Additional Pre-Hearing Questions for Mr. Matthew Olsen upon His Appointment to Be the Director of the National Counterterrorism Center,” Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, www.intelligence.senate.gov/sites/default/files/hearings/prehearing%20%283%29.pdf

“Assistant Director for Strategic Operational Planning and National Intelligence Manager for Counterterrorism,” University of Arizona Intelligence Community Center of Excellence, io.azcast.arizona.edu/content/damon-stevens

59. See, for example: “Strategic Intelligence Assessment and Data on Domestic Terrorism,” Federal Bureau of Investigation and Department of Homeland Security, October 2022, <https://www.fbi.gov/file-repository/fbi-dhs-domestic-terrorism-strategic-report-2022.pdf/view>

60. The component of U.S. Code that requires the Secretary of State to deliver annual country reports to Congress defines terrorism as “premeditated, politically motivated violence perpetrated against noncombatant targets by subnational groups or clandestine agents.” See: 22 USC § 2656f(d)(2), [uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=\(title:22%20section:2656f%20edition:prelim\)](https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=(title:22%20section:2656f%20edition:prelim)). NCTC in 2005 adopted the definition of “terrorism” that appears in the 22 USC § 2656f(d)(2). See: “NCTC 2010 Report on Terrorism,” NCTC, 30 April 2011, www.dni.gov/files/documents/2010_report_on_terrorism.pdf; “Trends in Global Terrorism: Implications for the United States,” National Intelligence Council, 2006, [www.dni.gov/files/documents/FOIA/DF-2016-00118%20\(Knapp\)%20Final%20Response.pdf](http://www.dni.gov/files/documents/FOIA/DF-2016-00118%20(Knapp)%20Final%20Response.pdf) “Intelligence Guide for First Responders,” Joint Counterterrorism Assessment Team, www.dni.gov/nctc/jcat/jcat_ctguide/intel_guide.html

61. Recent attacks and plots from across the globe almost certainly meet this threshold, including a 2014 mass shooting targeting Canadian police officers and the thwarted assassination of a Belgian public health official in 2021. See: Monika Pronczuk and Koba Ryckewaert, “After a 35-Day Manhunt for Far-Right Soldier, Body Is Found in Belgium,” New York Times, June 20, 2021, www.nytimes.com/2021/06/20/world/europe/belgium-far-right-soldier.html; Navaid Aziz and Stephanie Carvin, “Hate in Canada: A Short Guide To Far-Right Extremist Movements,” Organization for the Prevention of Violence, January 10, 2022, www.preventviolence.ca/publication/hate-in-canada-a-short-guide-to-far-right-extremist-movements/; Michael MacDonald, “Justin Bourque targeted Mounties, wanted to start rebellion, court told,” The Canadian Press, October 27, 2014, www.atlantic.ctvnews.ca/justin-bourque-targeted-mounties-wanted-to-start-rebellion-court-told-1.2072588; Christopher Costa, “The World Has Entered The Fifth Wave Of Anti-Government Terrorism,” The Hill, January 12, 2023, www.thehill.com/opinion/national-security/3810480-the-world-has-entered-the-fifth-wave-of-anti-government-terrorism/.

62. As scholars Tore Bjørge and Miroslav Mareš note, terrorism does not necessarily overlap with hate crime and vigilantism against migrants and minorities. Vigilantism, note the authors, is “historically and ideologically an expression and instrument” of a political worldview that “reject[s] the values of a democratic constitutional state.” See: Tore Bjørge and Miroslav Mareš, *Vigilantism against Migrants and Minorities*, Routledge Studies in Fascism and the Far Right, 2020, 2-16. See also, on electoral violence as a distinct sub-type: Sarah Birch, Ursula Daxecker and Kristine Höglund, “Electoral Violence: An Introduction,” *Journal of Peace Research*, 2020.

63. Scholars have written about how domestic hate crimes, for example, “drive polarizing wedge issues deeper into the fabric of our society”—an argument can easily be extrapolated to occurrences in partner nations in a broader international context. See: William Braniff, “Hate Crime Is A National Security Issue,” National Consortium for the Study of Terrorism and Responses to Terrorism, November 12, 2020, www.start.umd.edu/news/hate-crime-national-security-issue. On the relationship between anti-democratic violence and authoritarian political movements, see: Hartosh Singh Bal, “The Unstoppable Rise of Hindu Nationalism: How India’s Far Right Is Conquering Castes—and the Country,” Foreign Affairs, April 13, 2022, www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/india/2022-04-13/unstoppable-rise-hindu-nationalism

64. The component of U.S. Code that requires the Secretary of State to deliver annual country reports to Congress defines terrorism as “premeditated, politically motivated violence perpetrated against noncombatant targets by subnational groups or clandestine agents.” See: 22 USC § 2656f(d)(2), [uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=\(title:22%20section:2656f%20edition:prelim\)](https://www.uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=(title:22%20section:2656f%20edition:prelim)). NCTC in 2005 adopted the definition of terrorism that appears in the 22 USC § 2656f(d)(2). See: “NCTC 2010 Report on Terrorism,” NCTC, 30 April 2011, www.dni.gov/files/documents/2010_report_on_terrorism.pdf “Trends in Global Terrorism: Implications for the United States,” National Intelligence Council, 2006, [www.dni.gov/files/documents/FOIA/DF-2016-00118%20\(Knapp\)%20Final%20Response.pdf](http://www.dni.gov/files/documents/FOIA/DF-2016-00118%20(Knapp)%20Final%20Response.pdf) “Intelligence Guide for First Responders,” Joint Counterterrorism Assessment Team, www.dni.gov/nctc/jcat/jcat_ctguide/intel_guide.html

On the dual nature of the NIM-CT, see: “Additional Pre-Hearing Questions for Mr. Matthew Olsen upon His Appointment to be the Director of the National Counterterrorism Center,” Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, www.intelligence.senate.gov/sites/default/files/hearings/prehearing%20%283%29.pdf; “Assistant Director for Strategic Operational Planning and National Intelligence Manager for Counterterrorism,” University of Arizona Intelligence Community Center of Excellence, io.azcast.arizona.edu/content/damon-stevens

65. Trends may vary across the globe, including where data collection is limited, but where data is available, spontaneous attacks play a prominent role in the broad ecosystem of anti-democratic violence. See: Jacob Aasland Ravndal, Charlotte Tandberg, Anders Ravik Jupskås and Madeleine Thorstensen, “RTV Trend Report 2022: Right-Wing Terrorism and Violence in Western Europe, 1990 – 2021,” Center for Research on Extremism, 2022, sv.uio.no/c-rex/english/publications/c-rex-reports/2022/rtv_trend_report_2022.pdf; Matthew M. Sweeney and Arie Perliger, “Explaining the Spontaneous Nature of Far-Right Violence in the United States,” Perspectives on Terrorism, December 2018.

66. Karsten Muller and Carlo Schwarz, “Fanning the Flames of Hate: Social Media and Hate Crime,” June 5, 2020, papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3082972. See also: Jennifer Leaning et al., “Accelerating Patterns of Anti-Roma Violence in Hungary,” FXB Center for Health and Human Rights and Harvard School of Public Health, February 2014, <https://cdn1.sph.harvard.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/2464/2017/12/FXB-Hungary-Report-Released-February-3-2014.pdf>; Zselyke Csaky, “The Antidemocratic Turn,” Freedom House, 2021, https://freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/2021-04/NIT_2021_final_042321.pdf; “LGBTQI Rights in Hungary,” A joint submission by the Hungarian LGBT Alliance, Transvanilla Transgender Association, Háttér Society, Budapest Pride, and Labrisz Lesbian Association, September 2015.

67. Arlene Getz, “Number Of Jailed Journalists Spikes To New Global Record,” Committee to Protect Journalists, December 14, 2022, www.cpj.org/reports/2022/12/number-of-jailed-journalists-spikes-to-new-global-record/; Jennifer Dunham, “Deadly Year For Journalists As Killings Rose Sharply In 2022,” Committee to Protect Journalists, January 24, 2023, cpj.org/reports/2023/01/deadly-year-for-journalists-as-killings-rose-sharply-in-2022/; Arch Puddington, “Violence Against Journalists is a Transnational Enterprise,” Freedom House, October 17, 2018, freedomhouse.org/article/violence-against-journalists-transnational-enterprise.

68. For information on how anti-democratic electoral violence and its potential to swing outcomes toward an authoritarian majority, see: Rachel Kleinfeld, “The Rise of Political Violence in the United States,” Journal of Democracy, October 2021, www.journalofdemocracy.org/articles/the-rise-of-political-violence-in-the-united-states/#f29; Eviane Leidig, “Far-Right Terrorism Is Global, But Coverage Is Not: Hindu Nationalist Violence in India,” GNET, February 24, 2020, www.journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0022343319889657; Sarah Birch, Ursula Daxecker and Kristine Höglund, “Electoral violence: An introduction,” Journal of Peace Research, 2020, www.journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0022343319889657; Olatunji Olaigbe, “Disinformation Has a Human Cost in Africa’s Largest Democracy,” Inkstick, March 22, 2023, inkstickmedia.com/the-us-democracy-problem/

69. Heather Ashby, “Far-Right Extremism Is a Global Problem—and the World Needs to Come Together to Address It,” Foreign Policy, January 15, 2021, www.foreignpolicy.com/2021/01/15/far-right-extremism-global-problem-worldwide-solutions/.

70. See, for example: “European Officials Infuriated by Alleged NSA Spying on Diplomats,” TIME, June 30, 2013, world.time.com/2013/06/30/european-officials-infuriated-by-alleged-nsa-spying-on-friendly-diplomats/; “U.S. Spy Scandal Triggers Outrage, Paranoia in Germany,” NBC News, August 2, 2014, www.nbcnews.com/storyline/nsa-snooping/u-s-spy-scandal-triggers-outrage-paranoia-germany-n170366.

71. “Remarks as Prepared for Delivery by Assistant to the President for Homeland Security, Dr. Liz Sherwood-Randall on the Future of the U.S. Counterterrorism Mission: Aligning Strategy, Policy, and Resources,” The White House, September 8, 2021, www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/speeches-remarks/2021/09/09/remarks-by-assistant-to-the-president-for-homeland-security-dr-liz-sherwood-randall-on-the-future-of-the-u-s-counterterrorism-mission-aligning-strategy-policy-and-resources/.

72. See: Max Fisher, “Troubled Loner? Political Terrorist? Both? It’s Often Hard to Say,” The New York Times, November 3, 2022, www.nytimes.com/2022/11/03/world/americas/pelosi-attack-interpret.html; Patrick Bury and Michael Chertoff, “New Intelligence Strategies for a New Decade,” RUSI Journal, September 2020, www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/03071847.2020.1802945#:~:text=In%20this%20article%2C%20Patrick%20Bury%20and%20Michael%20Chertoff,terrorism%20will%20require%20counterterrorism%20intelligence%20to%20also%20adapt; Rachel Kleinfeld, “The Rise of Political Violence in the United States,” Journal of Democracy, October 2021, www.journalofdemocracy.org/articles/the-rise-of-political-violence-in-the-united-states/#f29.
73. See: Emma Ashford and Rebecca Friedman Lissner in “New Voices in Grand Strategy,” Center for a New American Security, April 19, 2021, <https://s3.us-east-1.amazonaws.com/files.cnas.org/hero/documents/Grand-Strategy-Report-Final-online-1.pdf?mtime=20190408141828&focal=none>
74. Patrick Bury and Michael Chertoff, “New Intelligence Strategies for a New Decade,” RUSI Journal, September 2020, www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/03071847.2020.1802945#:~:text=In%20this%20article%2C%20Patrick%20Bury%20and%20Michael%20Chertoff,terrorism%20will%20require%20counterterrorism%20intelligence%20to%20also%20adapt.
75. Thomas Pepinsky and Jessica Chen Weiss, “The Clash of Systems? Washington Should Avoid Ideological Competition with Beijing,” Foreign Affairs, June 11, 2021, www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/united-states/2021-06-11/clash-systems.
76. Thomas Pepinsky and Jessica Chen Weiss, “The Clash of Systems? Washington Should Avoid Ideological Competition with Beijing,” Foreign Affairs, June 11, 2021, www.foreignaffairs.com/articles/united-states/2021-06-11/clash-systems.
77. Yana Gorokhovskaia and Isabel Linzer, “Defending Democracy in Exile: Policy Responses to Transnational Repression,” Freedom House, June 2022, freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/2022-05/Complete_TransnationalRepressionReport2022_NEW_0.pdf, 33. See also: Letta Taylor, “India’s Abuses at Home Raise Concerns about Its Global Counterterrorism Role,” Just Security, October 27, 2022, www.justsecurity.org/83787/indias-abuses-at-home-raise-concerns-about-its-global-counterterrorism-role/.
78. Scholars such as Mary Kaldor have expressed such concerns. See: “A Values-Based Approach to Foreign Policy? Lessons from the Biden Administration,” Just Security, February 23, 2023, www.justsecurity.org/85199/a-values-based-approach-to-foreign-policy/.
79. Rachel Kleinfeld, “A Helsinki Moment for a New Democracy Strategy,” Democracy Journal, Fall 2022, www.democracyjournal.org/magazine/66/a-helsinki-moment-for-a-new-democracy-strategy/.

Author

Joseph Stabile is a senior policy strategist working within the Analysis Division of MITRE's Intelligence Center. In this role, he provides strategic planning support to the U.S. Government, helping to integrate counterterrorism policy efforts across the interagency. Joseph also conducts research with a particular focus on transnational racially motivated violent extremism. He holds a B.S. and M.A. from Georgetown University.

Intelligence After Next

MITRE strives to stimulate thought, dialogue, and action for national security leaders developing the plans, policy, and programs to guide the nation. This series of original papers is focused on the issues, policies, capabilities, and concerns of the Intelligence Community's workforce as it prepares for the future. Our intent is to share our unique insights and perspectives surrounding a significant national security concern, a persistent or emerging threat, or to detail the integrated solutions and enabling technologies needed to ensure the success of the Intelligence Community. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not imply endorsement by the Office of the Director of National Intelligence or any other U.S. Government department/agency.

About MITRE

MITRE's mission-driven teams are dedicated to solving problems for a safer world. Through our public-private partnerships and federally funded R&D centers, we work across government and in partnership with industry to tackle challenges to the safety, stability, and well-being of our nation.

The MITRE logo is displayed in a bold, blue, sans-serif font.