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ABSTRACT

The authors present uncomplicated and well established equations that can be used in simulation or real-world applications to determine key crossing points and aircraft proximity when the trajectory and speed of aircraft pairs are known. These equations, in close form, were developed for computing the minimum distance between two aircraft within the four-dimensional (4D) space-time domain. The 4D flight proximity information can be used in simulation to evaluate large numbers of scheduled routes over a limited airspace for controller workload assessment. Also, it can be used to detect potential separation violations and impacts of traffic flow management (TFM) strategies. An example of computing the distance between two flights in 4D is presented. Sample aircraft proximity landscape in 4D space-time simulation with MATLAB code is also provided.

1 INTRODUCTION

Airspace geometry is defined typically as polygons or polylines in 3D by latitude (Lat), longitude (Lon), and altitude (Alt). Airspaces can be divided into airspace with fixed altitudes for their floor or ceiling. Flight paths are defined by a set of 3D points. Proximity detection for 4D (time added to 3D) flights is a key element in managing the National Airspace System (NAS). Up to 90K flights may enter the national airspace daily by 2020. When a large number of flights are scheduled to arrive at a given airspace, it is critical that conflicts be detected in a timely manner. The MITRE Corporation’s Center for Advanced Aviation System Development (CAASD) has developed a suite of state-of-the-art simulation tools to model the NAS. One of these tools (the mid-level simulator) simulates both international and NAS-wide air traffic control (ATC) events at progressively detailed levels of granularity (Wieland, 2004 and Wang, 2005). This model is written in Simulation Language with eXensibility (SLX) (Wolverine Software Corporation, 2003). This paper shows how such a simulation tool is used to calculate 4D flight proximity for conflict detection. This work is related to previous work in conflict estimation reported by Richard Irvine (Irvine, 2002 and 2003) for the EUROCONTROL Experimental Center. We have replaced the ratio of speed between two aircraft with vectors in 4D so that both the altitude and time are explicitly incorporated. The concepts presented in this paper are also applicable to any moving objects in 4D.

2 DEFINING 3D POINTS

In this paper, we adopt the convention that Greenwich is 0 Lon and Lon is negative west of Greenwich; the equator is 0 Lat and Lat is negative south of the equator, and sea level 0 Alt above the earth’s surface. We define a 3D point in space as a triple (Lat, Lon, Alt) with the following convention that $-\pi / 2 \leq \text{Lat} < \pi / 2$, $-\pi \leq \text{Lon} < \pi$, and $R \leq \text{Alt}$, where $R$ is the radius of the earth. This triplet is then converted to a vector in space as follows:

$$\mathbf{P} = (P_x, P_y, P_z) = h_p \mathbf{u}_p$$

where $h_p$ = the altitude (from earth center) at point $P$

$$\mathbf{u}_p = (\cos \alpha \cos \beta, \cos \alpha \sin \beta, \sin \alpha)$$

with $\alpha = \text{lat}$ and $\beta = \text{lon}$.

$\mathbf{u}_p$ is a unit vector ($\mathbf{u}_p \cdot \mathbf{u}_p = 1$) defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{u}_p = (\cos \alpha \cos \beta, \cos \alpha \sin \beta, \sin \alpha) = (x_p, y_p, z_p).$$

We note that the cross product of two unit vectors, $\mathbf{u}_p$ and $\mathbf{u}_q$, is a vector $\mathbf{u}_p \times \mathbf{u}_q$ that is orthogonal to both $\mathbf{u}_p$ and $\mathbf{u}_q$. 
3 DEFINING SECTOR AIRSPACE AND FLIGHT PATHS

In this paper, we have made a simplifying assumption that a sector is a polygon with a fixed altitude for its floor or ceiling, and a flight path is an ordered set of points in 3D. A flight in 4D will follow its predetermined flight path with advancing time at each 3D point. The geometry of sectors and flight paths may be represented by a set of points as vectors in 3D. Lat, Lon, and Alt are uniquely defined on the unit sphere with the center of the earth as its origin and a radius of 1. Any point in a given airspace is uniquely identifiable as a vector, the product of the altitude (measured from the center of the earth) and a unit vector. Using the dot product and cross product for vectors, one can compute easily all the key points along a flight path entering or exiting a sector through the top, bottom, or sides known as sector crossings and the closest vertex of a sector to a given path in 3D.

4 SECTOR CROSSING WHEN ASCENDING OR DESCENDING

An aircraft may cross a sector in many different ways. A flight may stay at a fixed altitude when leaving the current airspace and entering an adjacent airspace at a side crossing. Flights may enter target airspace or exit the current airspace from the top or bottom of the airspace. Flights may also cross a sector through a side while climbing or descending. Further, flights can cut through an edge, part of an edge, or a corner of a given airspace. Figure 1 illustrates some of the common ways in which a flight may traverse a given airspace with a given route in terms of distinct nodes in 3D.

In Figure 1, a sector is defined as a polygon consisting of a set of points in 3D with fixed ceiling and floor \( \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \sigma, \mu, \eta, \rho, \tau\} \). The path (trajectory) of a given flight is shown as a sequence of points each with distinct Lat, Lon, and Alt \( \{A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I, J\} \).

For flights entering a sector from the top (ceiling) or bottom (floor), Figure 2 illustrates the relationship among the three points, \( P, Q \) and \( X \).

From Figure 2, we have the following relationships:

\[
\lambda = (h_p - h_X) / (h_p - h_Q) \quad \text{is the ratio as shown in Figure 2.}
\]

\[
u_p = \{x_p, y_p, z_p\}, \quad u_Q = \{x_Q, y_Q, z_Q\},
\]

\[
u_\alpha = u_p \times u_Q / |u_p \times u_Q|
\]

Further, \( \cos(\hat{PQ}) = \cos \theta = u_p \cdot u_Q \)

\[
u_X = u_p \cos \lambda \theta + (u_\alpha \times u_p / |u_\alpha \times u_p|) \sin \lambda \theta
\]

Arc \( \hat{POQ} \) is subtended by \( \theta = \angle POQ \) where \( O \) is the center of the earth, so that \( \theta = \cos^{-1}(u_p \cdot u_Q) \). Arc \( \hat{PX} \) is subtended by \( \lambda \theta = \angle POX \). Therefore, we have

\[
u_X = (x_X, y_X, z_X) \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_X \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_X \quad \text{defined as follows:}
\]

\[
\alpha_X = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{z_X}{\sqrt{x_X^2 + y_X^2}}\right), \quad \beta_X = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y_X}{x_X}\right)
\]

(note: in SLX, \(-\pi < \beta_X = \tan^{-1}(y_X/x_X) \leq \pi\)

Note that the unit vector \( u_\alpha \) is completely determined by the unit vectors \( u_p, u_Q, \) and altitudes, \( h_p, h_Q, \) and \( h_X \).

Similarly, to determine side crossings, Figure 3 illustrates the relationship between the path segment \( \hat{PQ} \), which intersects the sector segment \( \hat{VW} \). We have the following equality:

\[
u_X = n_{PQ} \times n_{VW} / |n_{PQ} \times n_{VW}|
\]

\[
= (u_p \times u_Q) \times (u_v \times u_w) / |(u_p \times u_Q) \times (u_v \times u_w)|
\]

where \( n_{PQ} = u_p \times u_Q \) and \( n_{VW} = u_v \times u_w \).

\[
h_{X_s} = h_p + (h_Q - h_p) \cos^{-1}(u_p \cdot u_{X_s}) / \cos^{-1}(u_p \cdot u_Q)
\]
Great Circle Path with Steadily Decreasing Altitude

\[
\lambda = \frac{(h_p - h_i)}{(h_p - h_Q)}
\]

\(o:(0,0,0)\)

\(P:(\text{Lat}_p, \text{Lon}_p, h_p)\)

\(X:(\text{Lat}_x, \text{Lon}_x, h_x)\)

\(Q:(\text{Lat}_q, \text{Lon}_q, h_q)\)

Figure 2  Top or Bottom Sector Airspace Crossing

Figure 3  Computing Sector Airspace Side Crossing
In Figure 3 both $u_X$ and $h_X$ are completely determined by $u_p, u_Q, u_v, u_w, h_p,$ and $h_Q$.

5 THE NEAREST POINT ON A PATH TO A VERTEX

If the path of a given flight does not intersect or cross a given sector, it is possible to determine a point on the flight path that is closest to the defined sector. This can be achieved by finding the closest point on a segment of the path to the vertices defining the airspace. Such information is very useful in determining the distance between a flight path and a given sector.

Thus, we have the desired vector $h_w u_w$ and closest distance $|\overrightarrow{WV}|$ as follows:

$$|\overrightarrow{PV}| = |h_p u_p - h_v u_v| = \sqrt{h_p^2 + h_v^2 - 2h_p h_v (u_p \cdot u_v)}$$

$$|\overrightarrow{WV}| = |h_v u_v - h_w u_w| = \sqrt{h_v^2 + h_w^2 - 2h_v h_w (u_v \cdot u_w)}$$

$$u_w = W / |W| = (x_w, y_w, z_w)$$

$$\alpha_w = \tan^{-1}(z_w / \sqrt{x_w^2 + y_w^2})$$

$$\beta_w = \tan^{-1}(y_w / x_w)$$

6 4D PROXIMITY DETECTION

A flight traversing its path forms a 4D track in the spacet ime domain. To determine whether or not two flights are safely separated, it is necessary first to determine the shortest distance between the flights during their airborne trips. When the position and speed of two aircraft are known, one can determine the shortest distance between the two aircraft in 4D for proximity detection, collision prediction, or conflict avoidance. Such information can be used for Traffic Flow Management (TFM) during congested periods or poor weather conditions.

We have diagrammed the shortest distance between two airborne aircraft in 4D as shown in Figure 5.
$d_i = |P_i W_i| = \sqrt{(P_i - W_i) \cdot (P_i - W_i)}$

where $P_i - W_i = (P + tv_p u_{PQ} - (W + tv_w u_{WV})$

$= (h_p u_p + tv_p PQ / |PQ|) - (h_w u_w + tv_w WV / |WV|),$

and where $PQ = h_q u_{w} - h_p u_{p}$, $|PQ| = \sqrt{h_p^2 + h_q^2 - 2h_p h_q (u_p \cdot u_q)}$

$WV = h_u u_v - h_u u_w$, $|WV| = \sqrt{h_v^2 + h_w^2 - 2h_v h_w (u_w \cdot u_v)}$.

Therefore,

$$d_i^2 = ((h_p u_p - h_w u_w) + t(v_p u_{PQ} - v_p u_{WV})) \cdot (h_p u_p - h_w u_w) + t(v_p u_{PQ} - v_w u_{WV}))$$

$$= A t^2 + B t + C = f(t),$$

where $A = v_p^2 + v_w^2 - 2v_w v_p (u_{PQ} \cdot u_{WV});$

$B = 2h_p v_p u_p \cdot u_{PQ} + 2h_w v_w u_w \cdot u_{WV} - 2h_p v_p u_w \cdot u_{PQ} - 2h_w v_w u_p \cdot u_{WV};$

$C = h_p^2 + h_w^2 - 2h_p h_w u_p \cdot u_w.$

Finally, the minimizing time and distance are given by

$$t^* = -B / 2 A \quad \text{and} \quad d^* = \sqrt{f(t^*)} \quad \text{with setting} \quad f''(t^*) > 0.$$

Note that the shortest distance $d^*$ between two flights is completely determined by the current positions, the directions of the paths, and the speeds of the flights. Hence, it is possible to predict the quantity of $d$-neighbors, i.e., the number of flights in the proximity of an aircraft within a given distance ($d$) in a specific region of airspace or during an airborne trip. Such relationships also allow one to simulate the impacts of path or speed changes and compare tradeoffs among various conflict avoidance maneuvers.

The 4D flight proximity information may also be used in future automation to provide viable options for detecting potential conflicts, collisions, or flight maneuvers in congested airspace. It may prove useful in helping to gauge the complexity of traffic in a region of airspace that a flight is scheduled to enter.

### 7 AN EXAMPLE

As an example, we plot the distance function between two flights with the following data to illustrate the 4D landscape of the distance function $f(t) = At^2 + Bt + C$.

**Aircraft 1 at** $P$: Lat = 42.0, Lon = -86.0, Alt = 14k ft, speed=500 knots flying to Lat = 45.6, Lon = -81.6, Alt = 12k ft.

**Aircraft 2 at** $W$: Lat = 40.0, Lon = -83.0 Alt = 11k ft. speed = r*500 knots with $r = 0.1, 0.2, \ldots, 1.0$ flying to Lat = 43.4, Lon = -85.0, Alt = 15k ft.

Figure 6 plots the relevant 4D landscape determined by the function $f(t)$. Note that the worst case flight separation is identified as $t = 10.5$ (minutes), $r = 0.3$ ($v^2 = 150$ knots), and $d = 3.6$ nautical miles (nmi).

A program coded in MATLAB that produces Figure 6 is provided as follows:

```matlab
% this is for WSC06 paper
ER = 3437.7468*6076.115;
lat1 = 42.0*pi/180.0;
lon1 = -86.0*pi/180.0;
lon2 = -81.0*pi/180.0;
latb = 40.0*pi/180.0;
lonb = -83.0*pi/180.0;
lata = 43.4*pi/180.0;
lona = -85.0*pi/180.0;
alt1 = ER+100.0*140.0;
hp = alt1;
hq = altb;
hw = altb;
hv = altb;
```
Lpq = sqrt(hp*hp+hq*hq-2.0*hp*hq*dotproduct(u1,u2));
Lwv = sqrt(hw*hw+hv*hv-2.0*hw*hv*dotproduct(ua,ub));

upq{1}(1) = (hq*u2{1}(1)-hp*u1{1}(1))/Lpq;
upq{1}(2) = (hq*u2{1}(2)-hp*u1{1}(2))/Lpq;
upq{1}(3) = (hq*u2{1}(3)-hp*u1{1}(3))/Lpq;
uwv{1}(1) = (hv*ub{1}(1)-hw*ua{1}(1))/Lwv;
uwv{1}(2) = (hv*ub{1}(2)-hw*ua{1}(2))/Lwv;
uwv{1}(3) = (hv*ub{1}(3)-hw*ua{1}(3))/Lwv;
CC= hp*hp+hw*hw-2.0*hp*hw*dotproduct(u1,ua);
CB= 2.0*(hp*vp*dotproduct(u1,upq)+hw*vw*dotproduct(ua,uwv)-hp*vw*dotproduct(u1,uwv));
CA = vp*vp+vw*vw-2.0*vp*vw*dotproduct(upq,uwv);
t = -0.5*CB/CA;
mdist = sqrt(CC+CB*t+CA*t*t)/6076.115;

Data{w} = []; for i = 0:60
    ti = 0.5*i;
    dist = sqrt(CC+CB*ti+CA*ti*ti)/6076.115;
    Data{w}(i+1) = dist;
end % i
end % w

s = sprintf('%f %f %f %f %f %f %f %f %f %f',0.5*i,Data{1}(i),Data{2}(i),Data{3}(i),Data{4}(i),
            Data{5}(i),Data{6}(i),Data{7}(i),Data{8}(i),Data{9}(i),Data{10}(i));
disp(s);
end

Figure 6 Flight Distance in 4D Landscape
8 APPLICATIONS OF FLIGHT PROXIMITY DETECTION

The equations for computing the shortest distance between two flights may be used in simulation or real-world applications to determine the number of flights that are projected to fall within a given minimum separation distance. We can define the set of all flights with their pair-wise shortest distance in 4D less than or equal to \( d \) as \( d \)-neighbors. The quantity of \( d \)-neighbors of a given flight or a group of flights scheduled to arrive at a sector during peak demand is an ideal performance metric for evaluating different conflict resolution or TFM strategies. The authors are implementing the flight proximity detection algorithm for scheduled flights (Bhadra 2003) with the mid-level NAS simulation tool developed at CAASD.

Future challenges include the computation of flight proximity distance function involving acceleration, deceleration, and/or path changes maneuvers, and the sensitivity analysis of the size of \( d \)-neighbors with respect to minimum separation requirements. It is also possible to animate both the flight proximity function and the size of \( d \)-neighbors in 4D for the entire NAS or regional airspace with SLX and Proof (Wolverine, 2003 and 2004).
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